arXiv:1704.02867v1 [math.CO] 10 Apr 2017

A note on "Extremal graphs with bounded vertex bipartiteness number"

Jia-Bao Liu[a], Shaohui Wang[b,*]

[a] School of Mathematics and Physics, Anhui Jianzhu University, Hefei 230601, P.R. China

[b] Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Adelphi University, Garden City, NY 11530, USA

Abstract

This paper is devoted to present two counterexamples to the theorem from [2] Maria R., Katherine T. M., Bernardo S. M., Extremal graphs with bounded vertex bipartiteness number, Linear Algebra Appl. 493 (2016) 28-36. Moreover, the corrected theorem and proof are presented.

Keywords: Adjacency matrix, Signless Laplacian matrix, Maximal eigenvalue, Vertex bipartiteness

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper we are concerned with finite undirected connected simple graphs. Let G be a graph with vertices labelled $1, 2, \ldots, n$. The adjacency matrix A_G of G is an $n \times n$ matrix with the (i, j)-entry equal to 1 if vertices i and j are adjacent and 0 otherwise. The spectrum of a matrix M, denoted by $\sigma(M)$ is the multiplicities of the eigenvalues, which are represented in $\sigma(M)$ as powers in square brackets, e.g., $\sigma(M) = \{\lambda_1^{[m_1]}, \lambda_2^{[m_2]}, \cdots, \lambda_q^{[m_q]}\}$ indicates that λ_1 has multiplicity m_1, λ_2 has multiplicity m_2, and so on. The spectral radius of M is $\rho(M) = max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(M)\}$.

We use $\overline{G}$ to denote the complement of G. The complete graph K_n is a graph on n vertices such that any two distinct vertices are connected. Let $K_{s,t}$ denote the complete bipartite graph whose partition classes have orders s and t. For two vertex-disjoint graphs G_1 and G_2, the join $G_1 \vee G_2$ is the graph such that $V(G_1 \vee G_2) = V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and $E(G_1 \vee G_2) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \{uv \mid u \in V(G_1), v \in V(G_2)\}$. For the underlying graph theoretical definitions and notations we follow [1, 2].

The fewest number of vertices whose deletion yields a bipartite graph from G was defined by Fallat and Fan to be the vertex bipartiteness of G and it is denoted by $v_b(G)$.

Let k be a natural number such that $3 \leq n - k$. The set $\Sigma_k(n)$ is defined by

$\{G = (V(G), E(G)) : G$ is connected, $\mid V(G) \mid = n$ and $v_b(G) \leq k\}$.

*Corresponding author.

E-mail:liujiabaoad@163.com(J.-B. Liu), shaohuiwang@yahoo.com(S. Wang).

The authors of [2] identified the graph in $\Sigma_k(n)$ with maximum spectral radius and maximum signless Laplacian spectral radius. They obtained the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 3. in [2]) *Let $1 \leq k \leq n-3$. Then the following hold.*

(a) If $n-k$ is even, then $\rho_{\widehat{G}} \geq \rho_G$ holds for all graphs $G \in \Sigma_k(n)$, where

$$\widehat{G} = K_k \vee (\overline{K_{\frac{n-k}{2}}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n-k}{2}}}) \in \Sigma_k(n).$$

Equality holds if and only if $G = \widehat{G}$. The expression for $\rho_{\widehat{G}} \geq \rho_G$ is given by

$$\rho(\widehat{G}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n+k-2}{2} + \sqrt{k^2 + (3k-1)(n-k) + \left(\frac{n-k+2}{2}\right)^2}\ \right).$$

(b) If $n-k$ is odd, then $\rho(\widehat{G}) \geq \rho(G)$ holds for all graphs $G \in \Sigma_k(n)$, where

$$\widehat{G} = K_m \vee (\overline{K_{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor}} \vee \overline{K_{\lfloor \frac{n-k+1}{2} \rfloor}}) \in \Sigma_k(n).$$

Equality holds if and only if $G = \widehat{G}$. The eigenvalue $\rho(\widehat{G})$ corresponds to the maximal eigenvalue of $\widetilde{\Upsilon}$ in

$$\widetilde{\Upsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} k-1 & s+1 & s \\ k & 0 & s \\ k & s+1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

However, for Theorem 1.1, we have the following counterexamples.

2 Some counterexamples

In this section, we propose two examples to show the bound of spectral radius is incorrect in Theorem 3 (a) of [2].

Example 2.1 Given a graph $\widehat{G} = K_4 \vee (\overline{K_3} \vee \overline{K_3})$, by routine calculations, we can obtain

$$\sigma(A_{K_4 \vee (\overline{K_3} \vee \overline{K_3})}) = \left\{(-3)^{[1]}, (-1)^{[3]}, 0^{[4]}, (3-2\sqrt{6}\ )^{[1]}, (3+2\sqrt{6}\ )^{[1]}\right\}.$$

Then the spectral radius $\rho(A_{K_4 \vee (\overline{K_3} \vee \overline{K_3})})$ is $3+2\sqrt{6}$.

Note that $n = 10, k = 4$. Based on Theorem 1.1, one can get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(A_{K_4 \vee (\overline{K_3} \vee \overline{K_3})}) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n+k-2}{2} + \sqrt{k^2 + (3k-1)(n-k) + \left(\frac{n-k+2}{2}\right)^2}\ \right) \\ &= 3 + \frac{7\sqrt{2}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

It is a contradiction.

Example 2.2 Given a graph $\widehat{G} = K_5 \vee (\overline{K_3} \vee \overline{K_3})$. Similarly, we can obtain

$$\sigma(A_{K_5\vee(\overline{K_3}\vee\overline{K_3})}) = \Big\{(-3)^{[1]}, (-2)^{[1]}, (-1)^{[4]}, 0^{[4]}, 9^{[1]}\Big\}.$$

Then the spectral radius $\rho(A_{K_5\vee(\overline{K_3}\vee\overline{K_3})})$ is 9.

Note that $n = 11, k = 5$. According to Theorem 1.1, one can arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(A_{K_5\vee(\overline{K_3}\vee\overline{K_3})}) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n+k-2}{2} + \sqrt{k^2+(3k-1)(n-k)+\Big(\frac{n-k+2}{2}\Big)^2}\ \right)\\ &= \frac{7}{2} + \frac{5\sqrt{5}}{2},\end{aligned}$$

which also arrives at a contradiction.

We present the corresponding theorem in next section, which corrects Theorem 1.1.

3 Main results

In this section, we first recall the known property of quotient matrix that will be used later.

Theorem 3.1 *(See [3].) Suppose $\overline{M}$ is the quotient matrix of a partitioned symmetric matrix M, then the eigenvalues of $\overline{M}$ interlace the eigenvalues of M. Moreover, if the interlacing is tight, then the partition of M is regular. On the other hand, if the M is regularly partitioned, then the eigenvalues of $\overline{M}$ are eigenvalues of M.*

In what follows, we compute the eigenvalues of $\widehat{G}$ and obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $1 \le k \le n-3$. Then the following hold.*

(a) If $n-k$ is even, then $\rho(A_{\widehat{G}}) \ge \rho(A_G)$ holds for all graphs $G \in \Sigma_k(n)$, where

$$\widehat{G} = K_k \vee (\overline{K_{\frac{n-k}{2}}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n-k}{2}}}) \in \Sigma_k(n).$$

Equality holds if and only if $G \cong \widehat{G}$. The expression for $\rho(A_{\widehat{G}})$ is given by

$$\rho(A_{\widehat{G}}) = \frac{n+k-2+\sqrt{-7k^2+10kn-12k+n^2+4n+4}}{4}.$$

(b) If $n-k$ is odd, then $\rho(A_{\widehat{G}}) \ge \rho(A_G)$ holds for all graphs $G \in \Sigma_k(n)$, where

$$\widehat{G} = K_k \vee (\overline{K_{\lfloor\frac{n-k}{2}\rfloor}} \vee \overline{K_{\lfloor\frac{n-k+1}{2}\rfloor}}) \in \Sigma_k(n).$$

Equality holds if and only if $G \cong \widehat{G}$. The eigenvalue $\rho(A_{\widehat{G}})$ corresponds to the maximal eigenvalue of $\widetilde{\Upsilon}$ in

$$\widetilde{\Upsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} k-1 & s+1 & s\\ k & 0 & s\\ k & s+1 & 0\end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. By a suitable labelling, for $\widehat{G} = K_k \vee (\overline{K_{\frac{n-k}{2}}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n-k}{2}}})$, the matrix $A_{\widehat{G}}$ has regular partitioning into blocks.

(a) If $n-k$ is even, $r = s = \frac{n-k}{2}$, then by Theorem 3.1, $\sigma(\widetilde{\Omega}) \subseteq \sigma(A_{\widehat{G}})$, where

$$\widetilde{\Upsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} k-1 & r & s \\ k & 0 & s \\ k & r & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that an irreducible nonnegative matrix, the only eigenvalue with a nonnegative eigenvector is its maximal eigenvalue (see [4]). If M is an irreducible nonnegative matrix then the quotient matrix $\overline{M}$ is also irreducible and nonnegative. Consequently, the maximal eigenvalue of $\overline{M}$ also is the maximal eigenvalue of M.

We now compute the eigenvalues of $\widetilde{\Upsilon}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\widetilde{\Upsilon} - \lambda \cdot I\right| &= \begin{vmatrix} k-1-\lambda & r & s \\ k & -\lambda & s \\ k & r & -\lambda \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} k-1-\lambda & \frac{n-k}{2} & \frac{n-k}{2} \\ k & -\lambda & \frac{n-k}{2} \\ k & \frac{n-k}{2} & -\lambda \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (\lambda + \frac{n-k}{2})\Big[\lambda^2 + (1 - \frac{n-k}{2} - k)\lambda - (k+1)\frac{n-k}{2}\Big]. \end{aligned}$$

We can obtain

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{k-n}{2}, \lambda_{2,3} = \frac{n+k-2 \pm \sqrt{-7k^2+10kn-12k+n^2+4n+4}}{4}.$$

$$\sigma(\widetilde{\Upsilon}) = \left\{ \frac{k-n}{2}, \frac{n+k-2 \pm \sqrt{-7k^2+10kn-12k+n^2+4n+4}}{4} \right\}.$$

Hence, the spectral radius $\rho(A_{\widehat{G}})$ is the maximal eigenvalue of $\sigma(\widetilde{\Upsilon})$. The expression for $\rho(A_{\widehat{G}})$ is given by

$$\rho(\widehat{G}) = \frac{n+k-2+\sqrt{-7k^2+10kn-12k+n^2+4n+4}}{4}.$$

For the proof of (b), readers can refer to the proof of Theorem 3 (b) [2], which is correct. Hence, we omit it here. □

Acknowledgments

The work is partly supported by the National Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11601006.

References

[1] Bondy J. A., Murty U. S. R., Graph theory and its applications, MacMillan, London, 1976.

[2] R. Maria, T.M. Katherine, S.M. Bernardo, Extremal graphs with bounded vertex bipartiteness number, Linear Algebra Appl. 493 (2016) 28-36.

[3] W. Haemers, Interlacing eigenvalues and graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 227-228 (1995) 593-616.

[4] H. Minc, Nonnegative Matrices, John Wiley & Sons, 1988.